<sup>1</sup> Refers to the Court's docket entry number.

## Case 3:12-cv-00401-LRH-WGC Document 278 Filed 05/07/14 Page 2 of 2

1	the discovery pursuant to Local Rule 26-7. <sup>2</sup> Moreover, because the discovery in the Motion at
2	issue (Doc. #226) did not relate to the discovery requests in the earlier Motion (Doc. #121),
3	Fernandez's Motion (Doc. #226) was not authorized pursuant to the Magistrate Judge's stay on
4	discovery. See Doc. #200. For all of the aforementioned reasons, the Court finds that the
5	Magistrate Judge's Order (Doc. #227) was not clearly erroneous or contrary to law.
6	
7	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Fernandez's Objection (Doc. #233) is
8	OVERRULED.
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	DATED this 6th day of May, 2014.
11	T ADDV D HICKS
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	<sup>2</sup> The Magistrate Judge's April 22, 2013 Order permitting departure from the provisions

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Magistrate Judge's April 22, 2013 Order permitting departure from the provisions of Local Rule 26-7 pertained *only* to the discovery that was the subject of Fernandez's earlier Motion (Doc. #121). *See* Doc. #200, p. 4 n. 3.